Absorption correction:
$\psi$ scan
$T_{\min} = 0.0898, T_{\max} =$
0.1387
3360 measured reflections
3078 independent reflections
2773 observed reflections
$[l > 2\sigma(l)]$

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0230$   $wR(F^2) = 0.0502$  S = 1.0773078 reflections 217 parameters H atoms refined as riding  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0289P)^2]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 

 $h = 0 \rightarrow 8$   $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$   $l = -15 \rightarrow 15$ 3 standard reflections monitored every 97 reflections intensity decay: none

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$   $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.638 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$   $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.829 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (1992, Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4)

# Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(A^2)$

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j$$

	x	v		Ζ	$U_{ea}$	
Pt	0.05135 (3)	0.28862	(2)	0.11701 (1)	0.03267	(7)
C1	-0.2444 (2)	0.3823 (	D .	0.0999 (1)	0.0500 (	3)
N1	0.3091 (6)	0.2016	4)	0.1199 (3)	0.045 (1	)
C1	0.3444 (9)	0.1550 (	6)	0.0108 (4)	0.054 (1	)
C2	0.2940(9)	0.2631	6) –	0.0622 (4)	0.053 (1	) I
N2	0.0962(7)	0.3028	(4) -	0.0382 (3)	0.045 (1	)
N3	0.0199 (6)	0.2627	4)	0.2715 (3)	0.0359 (	ý)
C3	-0.1110(7)	0.1745 (	5)	0.3104 (4)	0.038 (1	)
S	-0.3066 (2)	0.0710	(1)	0.2618(1)	0.0462 (	3)
C4	-0.3393 (8)	0.0127	(6)	0.3878 (4)	0.051 (1	)
C5	-0.2107(8)	0.0695	(5)	0.4594 (4)	0.047 (1	)
N4	-0.0799(6)	0.1630	4)	0.4143 (3)	0.041 (1	)
C6	0.0814 (8)	0.2482	(5)	0.4449 (4)	0.045 (1	)
C7	0.1421 (7)	0.3087	(5)	0.3566 (4)	0.038 (1	)
C8	0.3113 (8)	0.4080	(5)	0.3452 (4)	0.041 (1	)
C9	0.2855 (9)	0.5273	(5)	0.2945 (4)	0.053 (1	)
C10	0.4442 (9)	0.6204	(6)	0.2854 (5)	0.061 (2	Ó
C11	0.6243 (9)	0.5949	(6)	0.3246 (4)	0.056 (2	ó
C12	0.6504 (8)	0.4776	(6)	0.3744 (4)	0.053 (1	)
C13	0.4927 (8)	0.3836	(5)	0.3866 (4)	0.045 (1	)
N5	0.1489 (7)	-0.0980	(5)	0.2393 (4)	0.048 (1	)
01	0.2435 (6)	-0.0079	(4)	0.2920 (3)	0.064 (1	)
02	0.0363 (6)	-0.1817	(4)	0.2801 (4)	0.071 (1	)
O3	0.1696 (9)	-0.1018	(5)	0.1440 (4)	0.094 (2	)
Т	able 2. Sele	ected geom	etric po	arameters	s (Å, °)	
Pt—N3		2.017 (4)	C3—N4		1.347 (0	6)
Pt—N2		2.026 (4)	C3S		1.716 (	5)
Pt-N1		2.029 (4)	SC4		1.745 (	5)
Pt-C1		2.305 (1)	C4—C5		1.331 (	7)
NI-CI		1.491 (7)	C5—N4	ł	1.397 (	6)
C1—C2		1.497 (8)	N4-C6	<b>i</b>	1.382 (	6)
C2—N2		1.484 (7)	C6C7		1.360 (	7)
N3—C3		1.330 (6)	C7—C8		1.483 (*	7)
N3—C7		1.393 (6)				
N3—Pt—	N2	175.6 (2)	N3—C3	-N4	111.4 (4	4)
N3-Pt-	N1	92.0 (2)	N3—C3	i—s	136.3 (4	4)
N2-Pt-	·N1	84.0 (2)	N4—C3	i—S	112.3 (2	3)
N3—Pt—	-C1	92.0(1)	C3—S	C4	88.8 (	2)
N2—Pt—	-Cl	91.9 (1)	C5—C4	—S	113.8 (4	4)
N1—Pt—	-Cl	175.5 (1)	C4—C5	—N4	111.0 (	5)
C1—N1	Pt	107.6 (3)	C3—N4	⊢C6	107.6 (-	4)
N1-C1-	C2	108.5 (4)	C3—N4	C5	114.0 (4	4)
N2—C2–	C1	108.1 (4)	C6—N4	C5	138.3 (	4)
C2-N2-	–Pt	110.4 (3)	C7—C6	—N4	106.0 (	4)

©1996 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

C3-N3-C7	105.3 (4)	C6-C7-N3	109.7 (4)
C3-N3-Pt	123.9 (3)	C6C7C8	128.3 (5)
C7N3Pt	129.8 (3)	N3	122.0 (4)

Data collection: Siemens P3VAX system. Cell refinement: Siemens P3VAX system. Data reduction: SHELXTL-Plus (Sheldrick, 1991). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: SHELXTL-Plus. Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93.

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health, grant No. CA 56915 from the National Cancer Institute, and by American Cyanamid Company.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: SZ1032). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Arvanitis, G. M., Berardini, M. E., Parkinson, G. N. & Schneider, B. S. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 1246–1248.
- Beusichem, M. van & Farrell, N. (1992). Inorg. Chem. 31, 634-639.
- Cavalca, L., Domiano, P. & Musatti, A. (1972). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1, 345-348.
- Hollis, L. S., Amundsen, A. R. & Stern, E. W. (1989). J. Med. Chem. 32, 128–136.
- Iball, J. & Scrimgeour, S. H. (1974). Recent Results Cancer Res. 48, 26.
- Lippert, B., Lock, C. J. L. & Speranzini, R. A. (1981). Inorg. Chem. 20, 335-342.
- Loehrer, P. J. & Einhorn, L. H. (1984). Ann. Intl Med. 100, 704–713. Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467–473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1991). SHELXTL-Plus. Release 4.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 321-325

## Dipyridiniomethane *cis*- and *trans*-Difluorotetrachloroosmate(IV), *cis*- and *trans*- $[(C_5H_5N)_2CH_2][OsCl_4F_2]$

CLEMENS BRUHN AND WILHELM PREETZ

Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Universität Kiel, Olshausenstrasse 40, D-24098 Kiel, Germany

(Received 5 June 1995; accepted 31 July 1995)

#### Abstract

In the structures of N,N'-methylenedipyridinium *cis*difluorotetrachloroosmate(IV), (I), and N,N'-methylenedipyridinium *trans*-difluorotetrachloroosmate(IV), (II), *cis*- and *trans*-[( $C_5H_5N$ )<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>][OsCl<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub>], the *trans* influence in the F—Os—Cl axes of (I) lengthens the average Os—F distance to 1.948 Å and shortens the average Os—Cl distance to 2.316 Å, compared with those of the symmetrically coordinated axes F—Os—F in (II) and Cl—Os—Cl in (I) and (II), respectively, with mean values of 1.926 and 2.337 Å observed for Os—F and Os—Cl, respectively.

#### Comment

Octahedrally coordinated complexes of the type  $[MF_nCl_{6-n}]^{2-}$  (M = Os, Ir, Pt; n = 0-6) have been synthesized systematically via stereospecific substitution reactions and characterized using vibrational and NMR spectroscopy to examine bond interactions due to the mutual trans influence of F and Cl (Preetz, Ruf & Tensfeldt, 1984; Groth & Preetz, 1987; Alyoubi, Greenslade, Foster & Preetz, 1990; Parzich, Peters & Preetz, 1993). However, the trans influence on bond distances in mixed halogeno complexes had not been studied extensively until recently because of statistical disorder of the ligands in high-symmetry  $A_2B$  lattices with alkali metal or spherical alkylammonium cations (Keller & Homborg, 1976). Obviously, ordered structures can be obtained if bent and doubly charged cations like dipyridiniomethane (Brüdgam & Hartl, 1986) are used to form AB-type salts (Bruhn & Preetz, 1994a,b, 1995a,b; Bruhn, Drews, Meynhardt & Preetz, 1995). In the course of our work on mixed F/Cl complexes of  $Os^{IV}$ , we isolated the title compounds (I) and (II) by ion-exchange chromatography.



Compound (I) crystallizes in space group  $P2_1/n$  with two independent formula units in the asymmetric unit and with all atoms in general positions. The complex anion whose atoms have 1 as the first digit in their label is completely ordered. The Os1—Cl13 [2.341 (2) Å] and Os1—Cl14 [2.335 (2) Å] distances are typical for symmetrically coordinated Cl—Os—Cl axes and comparable with those axes in (II). The mutual *trans* influence of Cl and F is noticeable by a slight shortening of the Os1—Cl12 [2.306 (2) Å] and Os1—Cl11 [2.327 (2) Å] bonds, whereas the Os1—F11 [1.945 (4) Å] and Os1— F12 [1.946 (4) Å] distances are lengthened compared with the values of the symmetrically coordinated axes in (II). The complex anion whose atoms have 2 as the first digit in their label exhibits unusual values for the anisotropic displacement parameters of F22 and Cl24 and the Os2—F22 [2.054 (4) Å] and Os2—Cl24 [2.288 (3) Å] bond distances. This is related to a packing disorder affecting these particular positions, presumably as a result of a 90° tilting around the Cl21-Os2-F21 axis. The Os2—Cl21 [2.316 (2) Å] and Os2—Cl22 [2.322 (2) Å] distances show typical values for Os—Cl bonds shortened by trans influence, and Os2-F21 is concomitantly lengthened to 1.953 (4) Å. In both anions the expected octahedral geometry is slightly distorted due to the larger atomic radii of the CI ligands compared with F. The Cl13-Os1-Cl14 and Cl23-Os2-Cl24 angles are bent to 174.94 (8) and 173.80 (9)°, avoiding contact with Cl11, Cl12 and Cl21, Cl22, respectively.

The almost perfect ordering of the mixed-ligand complex anions in the lattice is presumably conferred by the bent geometry of the dipyridiniomethane dication. In addition, the free orientation of the pyridine rings around the CM—N axes enables optimal adaptivity to the steric and topologic features of the anions. The normals to the planes through the pyridine rings carrying the labels A and B form angles of 56.9 (7) and 62.8 (7)°



Fig. 1. View of an asymmetric unit of (I) with the atom-labelling scheme. Ellipsoids represent the 30% probability level; H atoms are drawn as spheres of arbitrary radii.



Fig. 2. Stereoscopic view of (I) with H atoms omitted for clarity.

with the plane through NA, CM1 and NB. The pyridine rings labelled C and D exhibit corresponding angles of 68.0(7) and  $56.2(7)^\circ$ , respectively, with the plane through NC, CM2 and ND.

Compound (II) crystallizes in space group  $P\bar{1}$  with two independent Os atoms residing on inversion centres [Os1 on (0,0,0) and Os2 on (1/2,1/2,1/2)]. The bond distances within the linear F-Os-F axis are similar, as are those within the Cl-Os-Cl axis, with averaged values of 1.926 Å for Os—F and 2.340 Å for Os—Cl. In both complex anions, the axes are almost perfectly orthogonal.



Fig. 3. View of both independent complex anions and the dication of (II) with the atom-labelling scheme. Ellipsoids represent the 30% probability level; H atoms are drawn as spheres of arbitrary radii.



Fig. 4. Stereoscopic view of (II) with H atoms omitted for clarity.

In the dipyridiniomethane dication the angles between the normals to the pyridine rings make angles of 62.8(2)and  $40.2(3)^{\circ}$  with the normal to the plane through CM, N1 and N2.

#### **Experimental**

By the reaction of  $[OsCl_6]^{2-}$  with BrF<sub>3</sub> at room temperature, a mixture containing several compounds of the series  $[OsF_nCl_{6-n}]^{2-}$  (n = 0-6) is formed, from which *cis*- $[OsCl_4F_2]^{2-}$  and *cis*- $[OsF_4Cl_2]^{2-}$  have been separated by ion-

exchange chromatography on diethylaminoethyl-cellulose and finally isolated as their tetra-n-butylammonium (TBA) salts. By stereospecific ligand exchange with HCl gas in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 278 K, cis-[OsF<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> is converted to trans-[OsCl<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> in 50% yield (Preetz, Ruf & Tensfeldt, 1984). The dipyridiniomethane salts were precipitated from a N,N-dimethylformamide solution of the TBA salts using dipyridiniomethane dibromide, [(C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>N)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>]Br<sub>2</sub>, and recrystallized from water/acetone to give suitable single crystals.

#### Compound (I)

Crystal data

 $(C_{11}H_{12}N_2)[OsCl_4F_2]$  $M_r = 542.23$ Monoclinic  $P2_1/n$ a = 10.269 (4) Åb = 19.958 (3) Å c = 15.066(3) Å  $\beta = 103.80 (2)^{\circ}$  $V = 2998.6 (14) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 8 $D_x = 2.402 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ 

#### Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer  $\omega/2\theta$  scans Absorption correction:  $\psi$  scans (*MolEN*; Fair, 1990)  $T_{\min} = 0.73, T_{\max} = 0.99$ 

4995 measured reflections 4707 independent reflections 3751 observed reflections  $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ 

#### Refinement

Os1

F11

F12

Refinement on $F^2$	$(\Delta/\sigma)$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0290$	$\Delta  ho_{\max}$
$vR(F^2) = 0.0756$	$\Delta  ho_{min}$
S = 1.182	Extinc
707 reflections	SHE
55 parameters	1993
All H atoms refined	Extinc
freely with isotropic	0.00
displacement parameters	Atomi
except for H1B which	fron
was placed in a calculated	for
position	Vol.
$v = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.031P)^2]$	6.1.
+ 6.61 <i>P</i> ]	

where  $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 25 reflections  $\theta = 3.19 - 12.68^{\circ}$  $\mu = 9.228 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) KPrismatic  $0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.1 \text{ mm}$ Orange

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.0282$  $\theta_{\rm max} = 23.99^{\circ}$  $h=0 \rightarrow 11$  $k = 0 \rightarrow 22$  $l = -17 \rightarrow 16$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 240 min intensity decay: 1.2%

 $m_{max} = -0.7$  $= 1.09 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  $= -1.55 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ tion correction: ELXL93 (Sheldrick, 3) tion coefficient: 0363 (10) c scattering factors n International Tables Crystallography (1992, C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and 1.4)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$  for (I)

$$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

x 0.23191 (3)	y 0.357482 (15)	z 0.70256 (2)	$U_{eq}$ 0.02221 (12)
0.3767 (5)	0.2964 (2)	0.6957 (3)	0.0406 (12)
0.2558 (5)	0.3968 (2)	0.5893 (3)	0.0345 (11)

#### TWO ISOMERS OF (C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)[OsCl<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub>]

C111	0.0610 (2)	0.43337 (1	1) 0.7057 (2)	0.0392 (5)	Compound (II)
C112	0.2123 (3)	0.30555 (1)	3) 0.8355 (2)	0.0529 (7)	Crystal data
CI13	0.0830 (2)	0.28241 (10	$0, 0.01091(14) \\ 0.78225(14)$	0.0328 (5)	
0.2	0.3898 (2)	0.43320(1	0, 0.76535(14)	0.0333(3)	$(C_{11}H_{12}N_2)[OsCl_4F_2]$
E21	-0.23242(3) -0.1041(4)	0.10409 (2)	0 7105 (4)	0.02411(12)	$M_r = 542.23$
F21	-0.1041(4) 0.3813(4)	0.0295 (2)	0.6491 (4)	0.0402(12) 0.0412(13)	Triclinic
C121	-0.4280(2)	0.17887 (1	1) 0.70040 (14)	0.0354 (5)	$P\overline{1}$
Cl22	-0.0986(2)	0.18813 (1	1) 0.7664 (2)	0.0377 (5)	a = 7.7562(5) Å
Cl24	-0.2490 (3)	0.12843 (1	3) 0.5593 (2)	0.0509 (6)	u = 7.7502(5) A
Cl23	-0.2583 (2)	0.06831 (1	3) 0.8536 (2)	0.0489 (6)	D = 10.0127(8) A
CM1	-0.2743 (10)	0.4072 (5)	0.7444 (6)	0.035 (2)	c = 11.005/(9)  A
NA	-0.2425 (6)	0.3610 (3)	0.8235 (4)	0.0263(15)	$\alpha = 89.649 (7)^{\circ}$
CIA	-0.1216 (9)	0.3051(5)	0.8817 (0)	0.038(2)	$\beta = 70.346 \ (6)^{\circ}$
C2A C3A	-0.1915(11)	0.3273 (0)	0.9390 (7)	0.046 (3)	$\gamma = 75.112 \ (6)^{\circ}$
C4A	-0.3140(10)	0.2811 (5)	0.9161 (6)	0.042 (2)	$\dot{V} = 774.76 (14) \text{ Å}^3$
C5A	-0.3375 (9)	0.3186 (5)	0.8380 (6)	0.032 (2)	Z = 2
NB	-0.3174 (6)	0.3700 (3)	0.6577 (4)	0.0250 (14)	$D = 2.324 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
C1 <i>B</i>	-0.4408 (8)	0.3789 (4)	0.6053 (6)	0.036 (2)	$D_X = 2.524$ Mg III
C2B	-0.4804 (9)	0.3493 (5)	0.5222 (6)	0.039 (2)	Data collection
C3B	-0.3927 (9)	0.3079 (5)	0.4939 (6)	0.037 (2)	Data collection
C4B	-0.2669 (9)	0.2957 (4)	0.5482 (6)	0.033 (2)	Enraf–Nonius CAD-4
CSB	-0.2300(8)	0.3285 (4)	0.0314(5) 0.7364(6)	0.026(2) 0.030(2)	diffractometer
NC	0.2379(9)	0.1310(4) 0.1127(3)	0.8203 (4)	0.030(2) 0.0251(14)	$\omega/2\theta$ scans
CIC	0.4032 (9)	0.1127 (5)	0.8732 (6)	0.034 (2)	Absorption correction:
C2C	0.4375 (9)	0.0861 (5)	0.9563 (6)	0.040 (2)	w scans (MolEN: Fair
C3C	0.3448 (9)	0.0478 (5)	0.9827 (6)	0.038 (2)	$\psi$ scans ( <i>MOLLIV</i> , 1 an, 1000)
C4C	0.2183 (9)	0.0407 (4)	0.9266 (6)	0.036 (2)	1990)
C5C	0.1859 (9)	0.0736 (4)	0.8451 (6)	0.034 (2)	$T_{\rm min} = 0.73, \ T_{\rm max} = 1.00$
ND	0.2117 (6)	0.1072 (3)	0.6546 (4)	0.0268 (15)	3007 measured reflections
CID	0.0915 (9)	0.1129 (5)	0.5944 (6)	0.035 (2)	2795 independent reflections
C2D	0.0702 (11)	0.0787 (5)	0.3131(7)	0.043(2)	
	0.1000(12) 0.2844(11)	0.0378 (5)	0.4938 (7)	0.046(3)	
C5D	0.3090 (10)	0.0662 (4)	0.6379 (6)	0.036(2)	Refinement
					2
					Refinement on $F^2$
					$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0148$
Tab	ole 2. Selecte	d geometric	r parameters (A	, °) for (I)	$wR(F^2) = 0.0389$
Os1—F	11	1.945 (4)	NB-C1B	1.336(10)	S = 1.132
Os1—F	12	1.946 (4)	NB-C5B	1.348 (10)	2795 reflections
Os1—C	112	2.306 (2)	C1BC2B	1.356 (12)	233 parameters
Os1—C	.111	2.327 (2)	C2B—C3B	1.364 (13)	All H atom parameters
Os1—C	114	2.335 (2)	C3B—C4B	1.376 (12)	
Os1-C	113	2.341 (2)	C4B—C5B	1.384 (12)	refined $1/(-2/(-2)) + (0.019D)^2$
Os2—F	21	1.953 (4)	CM2-NC	1.457 (10)	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o) + (0.018P)^2]$
0.2-1	22 174	2.034 (4)	NC = C5C	1.469 (10)	+0.31P
0.2-0	124	2.316(2)	NC-CIC	1.349 (10)	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
Os2C	122	2.322 (2)	CIC-C2C	1.377 (13)	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
Os2—C	:123	2.335 (2)	C2C-C3C	1.352 (13)	
СМ1—	NB	1.476 (11)	C3C-C4C	1.379 (13)	
CM1—1	NA	1.479 (11)	C4CC5C	1.362 (13)	
NA-C	1A	1.341 (10)	ND-C1D	1.351 (11)	Table 3. Fractional atom
NA-C	5A 524	1.349 (11)	ND - CSD	1.301 (11)	isotropic displacemer
CIAC	-24	1.303 (14)	$C_1D = C_2D$	1.348 (13)	
C34-C	-3/1 -4A	1.380(14)	$C_{3D}$ $C_{4D}$	1.360 (15)	$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3)\Sigma$
C4A(	C5A	1.367 (13)	C4D-C5D	1.355 (13)	
E11 0	el E12	87.0 (2)	F21_0c2_0124	87 8 (3)	
F11-0	si—riz si—riz	895(2)	F21-0s2-0124 F22-0s2-0124	839(2)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
F12-C	0s1—Cl12	176.4 (2)	F21—Os2—Cl21	178.8 (2)	Cl11 0.19078 (12) 0.14

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ A}$
Cell parameters from 25
reflections
$\theta = 4.01 - 11.85^{\circ}$
$\mu = 8.928 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
T = 293 (2) K
Prismatic
$0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.1$ mm
Orange

 $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.69 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.46 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993) Extinction coefficient: 0.0183 (4) Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (1992, Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4)

Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent copic displacement parameters  $(\text{Å}^2)$  for (II)

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

х	у	Z	$U_{eq}$
0	0	0	0.02399 (7)
-0.1246 (3)	0.1371 (2)	0.1459 (2)	0.0355 (5)
0.19078 (12)	0.14176 (9)	-0.10534 (9)	0.0360 (2)
-0.22903 (14)	0.10522 (10)	-0.09175 (9)	0.0415 (2)
1/2	1/2	1/2	0.02644 (7)
0.5527 (3)	0.3321 (2)	0.3933 (2)	0.0365 (5)
0.18906 (13)	0.56678 (10)	0.49972 (10)	0.0407 (2)
0.59682 (13)	0.61427 (9)	0.31263 (9)	0.0401 (2)
0.4380 (6)	0.1528 (4)	0.2233 (4)	0.0337 (8)
0.3252 (4)	0.2828 (3)	0.1953 (3)	0.0278 (6)
0.3931 (7)	0.3947 (4)	0.1807 (4)	0.0428 (10)
0.2893 (9)	0.5154 (4)	0.1519 (4)	0.0590 (13)
0.1193 (9)	0.5206 (5)	0.1389 (5)	0.066 (2)
0.0535 (7)	0.4053 (5)	0.1534 (4)	0.0552 (12)
0.1595 (6)	0.2855 (4)	0.1809 (4)	0.0392 (9)
0.3345 (4)	0.1099 (3)	0.3509 (3)	0.0265 (6)

F11-Os1-Cl11

F12-Os1-Cl11

C112-Os1-C111

F11-Os1-Cl14 F12-Os1-Cl14

Cl12-Os1-Cl14

Cl11-Os1-Cl14

F11-Os1-C113

F12-Os1-Cl13

C112—Os1—C113 C111—Os1—C113

C114-Os1-C113

F21-Os2-F22

177.5 (2)

90.53 (15)

93.01 (9)

88.81 (15)

91.81 (9)

90.07 (8)

88.1 (2)

86.67 (15)

92.56 (8)

92.23 (8)

174.94 (8)

88.2 (2)

89.4 (2)

F22-Os2-Cl21

Cl24-Os2-Cl21

F21-Os2-Cl22

F22-Os2-Cl22

C124-Os2-C122

Cl21-Os2-Cl22

F21-Os2-Cl23 F22-Os2-Cl23

Cl24-Os2-Cl23

Cl21-Os2-Cl23

Cl22-Os2-Cl23

NB-CM1-NA NC-CM2-ND

91.85 (13)

90.97 (8)

89.4 (2)

176.4 (2)

93.32 (9)

90.56 (8)

88.6 (2)

90.9 (2)

173.80 (9)

92.61 (9)

91.70 (8)

111.2 (7)

111.0(7)

C112

Os2

F2

Cl21

Cl22

СМ

NI

C11

C12

C13 C14

C15

N2

C21	0.3521 (6)	-0.0266(4)	0.3614 (4)	0.0369 (9)
C22	0.2727 (6)	-0.0715(4)	0.4789 (4)	0.0449 (10)
C23	0.1771 (6)	0.0211 (4)	0.5856 (4)	0.0449 (10)
C24	0.1606 (6)	0.1595 (4)	0.5721 (4)	0.0431 (10)
C25	0.2413 (5)	0.2030 (4)	0.4546 (3)	0.0316 (8)

Table 4. Selected geometric parameters  $(A, \circ)$  for (II)

Os1—F1	1.923 (2)	C11-C12	1.370 (6)
Os1-C111	2.3353 (8)	C12C13	1.361 (8)
Os1-Cl12	2.3488 (9)	C13C14	1.365 (8)
Os2—F2	1.929 (2)	C14C15	1.365 (6)
Os2Cl21	2.3323 (9)	N2C25	1.344 (4)
Os2Cl22	2.3444 (9)	N2C21	1.346 (4)
CM-N1	1.465 (4)	C21-C22	1.362 (5)
CM-N2	1.488 (4)	C22-C23	1.365 (6)
N1-C15	1.341 (5)	C23C24	1.370 (6)
N1C11	1.343 (5)	C24C25	1.357 (5)
Fl <sup>i</sup> —Os1—Cl11	90.10 (6)	F2-Os2-Cl21	90.59 (7
F1-Os1-C111	89.90 (6)	F2 <sup>ii</sup> —Os2—Cl22	90.44 (7
F1-Os1-C112 <sup>i</sup>	90.31 (7)	F2-Os2-Cl22	89.56 (7
F1-Os1-Cl12	89.69 (7)	Cl21 <sup>ii</sup> —Os2—Cl22	90.46 (3
Cl11-Os1-Cl12	90.37 (3)	Cl21-Os2-Cl22	89.54 (3
F2-Os2-Cl21 <sup>ii</sup>	89.41 (7)	N1CMN2	111.0 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y, -z; (ii) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z.

In each compound the major residual features in the  $\Delta F$  synthesis lie close to the metal atoms.

For both compounds, data collection: CAD-4-PC (Enraf-Nonius, 1993); cell refinement: CAD-4-PC; data reduction: MolEN (Fair, 1990); program(s) used to solve structures: SIR92 (Altomare *et al.*, 1992); program(s) used to refine structures: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993); molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93.

Financial support from Fonds der Chemischen Industrie and Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft is gratefully acknowledged.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: BM1027). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1992). SIR92. Program for Crystal Structure Solution. University of Bari, Italy.
- Alyoubi, O. A., Greenslade, D. J., Foster, M. J. & Preetz, W. (1990). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 381–383.
- Brüdgam, I. & Hartl, H. (1986). Acta Cryst. C42, 866-868.
- Bruhn, C. & Preetz, W. (1994a). Acta Cryst. C50, 1555-1557.
- Bruhn, C. & Preetz, W. (1994b). Acta Cryst. C50, 1687-1690.
- Bruhn, C. & Preetz, W. (1995a). Acta Cryst. C51, 865-867.
- Bruhn, C. & Preetz, W. (1995b). Acta Cryst. C51, 1112-1116.
- Bruhn, C., Drews, H. H., Meynhardt, B. & Preetz, W. (1995). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 621, 373–380.
- Enraf-Nonius (1993). CAD-4-PC. Version 1.5e. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Fair, C. K. (1990). MolEN. An Interactive Intelligent System for Crystal Structure Analysis. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Groth, Th. & Preetz, W. (1987). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 548, 76–88. Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.

- Keller, H.-L. & Homborg, H. (1976). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 422, 261-265.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Parzich, E., Peters, G. & Preetz, W. (1993). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, 48, 1169–1174.
- Preetz, W., Ruf, D. & Tensfeldt, D. (1984). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, **39**, 1100–1109.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 325-328

### Sodium $3\alpha$ , $7\alpha$ , $12\alpha$ -Trihydroxy- $5\beta$ -cholan-24-oate Ethanolate (Sodium Cholate Ethanolate)

MARK C. WAHLE, JOSEPH G. STOWELL AND STEPHEN R. BYRN

School of Pharmacy and Pharmacal Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907-1333, USA

(Received 2 November 1994; accepted 5 July 1995)

#### Abstract

The crystal structure of sodium cholate ethanolate, Na<sup>+</sup>.C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>39</sub>O<sub>5</sub><sup>-</sup>.C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>O, recrystallized from absolute ethanol by slow evaporation, has been determined. This structure is the first report of a sodium cholate structure solely solvated by an organic molecule.

#### Comment

Our research into methyl rotation in the solid state led us to a number of compounds containing multiple methyl groups, including the two bile acids, cholic acid and deoxycholic acid. While most previous structural research has focused on deoxycholic acid  $(3\alpha, 12\alpha)$ dihydroxy-5 $\beta$ -cholan-24-oic acid) and its many inclusion compounds (Giglio, 1984), more recent research has examined the many possible solvated structures of cholic acid  $(3\alpha, 7\alpha, 12\alpha$ -trihydroxy-5 $\beta$ -cholan-24-oic acid). Many cholic acid structures have been solved, including cholic acid complexed with water (Lessinger, 1982; Lessinger & Low, 1993), acetophenone (Miki et al., 1988), valerolactone (Miki, Kasai, Shibakami, Takemoto & Miyata, 1991), benzene (Nakano, Sada & Miyata, 1994), aniline (Caira, Nassimbeni & Scott, 1993; Shibakami & Sekiya, 1994), 3-fluoroaniline (Shibakami & Sekiya, 1994), nitrobenzene (Caira, Nassimbeni & Scott, 1993), acetonitrile (Scott, 1995), and a number of